CONSTRUCTION PRIORITIES AND COST ESTIMATES

The improvements to the Jackson County Thoroughfare Plan obviously cannot be undertaken all at once, nor should they be. The cost would be overwhelming and the need for many of the improvements is not immediate. In an effort to reflect the relative value of various improvements, an assessment has been made of the benefits that can be expected from each project and a comparison has been made to the projected costs involved. The result of this benefit-cost analysis is the development of a listing of priorities for those recommended improvements.

Priorities have been set by comparing the benefits that will result to the expected project costs. Three principal measures of benefits were used: road user cost savings, the potential for increased economic development resulting from the improvement, and the environmental impact, both positive or negative, which might result. The first measure is an actual estimate of dollar savings, while the others are estimates of the probability of the resulting change.

Reduced road user costs should result from any roadway improvement, from a simple widening to the construction of a new roadway to relieve congested or unsafe conditions. Comparisons of the existing and the proposed facility have been made in terms of vehicle operating costs, travel time costs, and accident costs. These user benefits are computed as total dollar savings over the 23 year design period using data such as project length, base year and design year traffic volumes, traffic speed, type of facility, and volume/capacity ratio.

The impact of a project on economic development potential is denoted as the probability that it will stimulate the economic development of an area by providing access to developable land and reducing transportation costs. It is a subjective estimate based on the knowledge of the proposed project, local development characteristics, and land development potential. The probability is rated on a scale from 0 (none) to 1.00 (excellent).

The environmental impact analysis considers the effect of a project on the physical, social/cultural, and economic environment. Table 10 lists the items that are considered when evaluating the impact on the environment. Many of these have been accounted for in evaluating the project with respect to user benefits, cost, and economic development potential. However, thirteen environmental factors are generally not considered in these evaluations. They are the environmental impacts of a project on: (1) air quality, (2) water resources, (3) soils and geology, (4) wildlife, (5) vegetation, (6) neighborhoods, (7) noise, (8) educational facilities, (9) churches, (10) parks and recreational facilities, (11) historic sites and landmarks, and (12) public health and safety. The summation of both positive and negative impact probabilities with respect to these factors provides a measure of the relative environmental impact of a project.